

## Hadley and Leegomery

### Email Responses:

1	<p>As a Parish we have commented on the Boundary Review, however my views on the Properties that sit alongside the Horton/Ercall Magna boundary including the Queens Public House have not been considered and are not counted in the Horton Ward as part of the Electorate. Those properties and indeed any properties on that side of the Wheat Leasows road would be best served as being included in the Horton Ward and sensibly making them and the one Public House as central to the Horton Community looked after by the incumbent Parish Councillor.</p> <p>I respectfully request that this small element is also included in you deliberations.</p>
---	---

### Survey Responses:

1	I fully support the proposals for muxton, donnington & St. George's, the Weald moors and church Aston and Chetwyn Aston although I do think Horton should stay with Hadley
2	Hadley and Leegomery Parish Council believes that in the interest of community cohesion/identity and effective delivery of services, that maintaining the current boundaries of the Parish - including Horton, but also incorporating the entirety of Trench Lock using the natural boundaries available of the A442 and railway line would be the best outcome for the residents of Hadley & Leegomery. Additionally, the Parish Council has agrees with the recommendation to appoint an extra Councillor for the Trench Lock ward and would like to propose that a further councillor should be added for the Hadley Castle ward, increasing the total number of Councillors to 18 - this would ensure the best levels of representation for residents.
3	I believe that in the interest of community cohesion/identity and effective delivery of services, that maintaining the current boundaries of the Parish - including Horton, but also incorporating the entirety of Trench Lock using the natural boundaries available of the A442 and railway line would be the best outcome for the residents of Hadley & Leegomery. I agree that there should be an extra Councillor for the Trench Lock as this would ensure the best levels of representation for residents.
4	I recognise the importance of effective local governance. Any changes to the current Town and Parish Council boundaries should, first and foremost, be designed to strengthen local representation, improve accountability, and maintain the unique identities and historical ties of the communities within the borough of Telford & Wrekin. For any proposed changes to the current arrangements, the Council should explain clearly how those changes would address each of those points. Telford & Wrekin Council should engage further with residents, community groups, and other local stakeholders before any final decisions are made. My comments on the Council's draft proposals have been informed by conversations and correspondence with Town and Parish Councillors, Borough Councillors, and other interested parties. The Community Governance Review is more extensive than it needs to be at this time. With the latest version of the draft Local Plan still to be published, a more targeted review to accommodate significant new developments in Muxton and Priorslee would be sufficient, while a more comprehensive review could be conducted following the publication of the final version of the Local Plan. Waters Upton and Ercall Magna – I support maintaining the current boundary and governance

	<p>arrangements. Both communities have a distinct identity and are geographically separated by a significant distance. Muxton – I support the proposal for a separate Parish Council. The boundary of the new Parish Council should be the same as the Borough Council ward boundary. The new developments on Donnington Wood Way and at the top the Redhill should be included in the Muxton Parish boundary to include the new residents in the existing community. The extra care facility on Donnington Wood Way was approved with community facilities for Muxton included in the planning application. Donnington Wood Way, Redhill and the A5 are the obvious and logical boundaries of the new Muxton Parish as opposed to the arbitrary proposed boundary which divides the existing community. Priorslee – I support the proposal for a separate Parish Council. Donnington, Wrockwardine Wood, Trench – I do not support the current proposals. Donnington and St. Georges are older, well-established communities. Residents of Donnington will associate more with Wrockwardine Wood and Trench, whereas residents of St. Georges will identify more with Oakengates. The proposals as currently drafted would split Wrockwardine Wood in two, with Summer Crescent, Cockshut Piece and The Nabb being moved into St Georges. A more logical proposal would be to merge Donnington (excluding Redhill) with Wrockwardine Wood &amp; Trench; alongside the creation of a single Oakengates and St Georges Town Council which would better reflect community identities. Eyton, Preston, Kynnersley and Hadley &amp; Leegomery - Wealdmoor Parish Council should include Kynnersley and Preston. Horton should have its own Parish Meeting as it is a predominantly rural community which is clearly distinct from Hadley and Leegomery. Eyton should retain a Parish Meeting due to its distinct and isolated rural location. Apley Castle should not be included within the Hadley and Leegomery Parish, as it is a distinct community with little connection to Hadley &amp; Leegomery. Wellington Town Council - Admaston, Bratton &amp; Shawbirch should have their own Parish Council, separate from Wellington, as they constitute a distinct urban area with shared local services. Wrockwardine and Little Wenlock – these villages are geographically separated by The Wrekin and are long established distinct communities. They should each have their own Parish Council. I know that Little Wenlock Parish Councillors have serious concerns about the proposals to merge the Parish Council with other areas, as they feel that would create a loss of identity and influence for their community. I am told that, in response to a recent survey carried out by the Parish Council, a majority of Little Wenlock residents supported keeping a separate Parish Council for Little Wenlock. Rodington – this village should retain its own Parish Council. I would welcome further opportunities to contribute as the Community Governance Review progresses.</p>
5	<p>I believe that Apley Castle Ward has a different and distinctive character to Hadley and Leegomery and that Apley would be better located in the Wellington Parish and/or together with Admaston and Bratton. The current parish name does not even include Apley. I don't believe that many Apley residents use the local facilities in Leegomery, preferring to use Wellington.</p>